## View access control as a matrix

Ohioata

	Objects					
(		File 1	File 2	File 3		File n
Subjects {	User 1	read	write	-	-	read
	User 2	write	write	write	-	-
	User 3	-	-	-	read	read
	User m	read	write	read	write	read

- Subjects (processes/users) access objects (e.g., files)
- Each cell of matrix has allowed permissions

# Two ways to slice the matrix

#### • Along columns:

- Kernel stores list of who can access object along with object
- Most systems you've used probably do this
- Examples: Unix file permissions, Access Control Lists (ACLs)
- Along rows:
  - Capability systems do this
  - More on these later...

# **Specifying policy**

- Manually filling out matrix would be tedious
- Use tools such as groups or *role-based access control*:



# **Example: Unix protection**

- Each process has a User ID & one or more group IDs
- System stores with each file:
  - User who owns the file and group file is in
  - Permissions for user, any one in file group, and other
- Shown by output of ls -l command:
  - user group other owner group  $-\overrightarrow{rw}-\overrightarrow{rw}-\overrightarrow{r-} dm \overrightarrow{cs140} \dots index.html$
  - Each group of three letters specifies a subset of read, write, and execute permissions
  - User permissions apply to processes with same user ID
  - Else, group permissions apply to processes in same group
  - Else, other permissions apply

3/33

## Unix continued

- Directories have permission bits, too
  - Need write perm. on directory to create or delete a file
- Special user root (UID 0) has all privileges
  - E.g., Read/write any file, change owners of files
  - Required for administration (backup, creating new users, etc.)
- Example:
  - drwxr-xr-x 56 root wheel 4096 Apr 4 10:08 /etc
  - Directory writable only by root, readable by everyone
  - Means non-root users cannot directly delete files in /etc
  - Execute permission means ability to use pathnames in the directory, separate from read permission which allows listing

# Non-file permissions in Unix

• Many devices show up in file system

- E.g., /dev/tty1 permissions just like for files

- Other access controls not represented in file system
- E.g., must usually be root to do the following:
  - Bind any TCP or UDP port number less than 1,024
  - Change the current process's user or group ID
  - Mount or unmount file systems
  - Create device nodes (such as /dev/tty1) in the file system
  - Change the owner of a file
  - Set the time-of-day clock; halt or reboot machine

5/33

# **Example: Login runs as root**

- Unix users typically stored in files in /etc
  - Files passwd, group, and often shadow or master.passwd
- For each user, files contain:
  - Textual username (e.g., "dm", or "root")
  - Numeric user ID, and group ID(s)
  - One-way hash of user's password: {salt, *H*(salt, passwd)}
  - Other information, such as user's full name, login shell, etc.
- /usr/bin/login runs as root
  - Reads username & password from terminal
  - Looks up username in /etc/passwd, etc.
  - Computes *H*(salt, typed password) & checks that it matches
  - If matches, sets group ID & user ID corresponding to username
  - Execute user's shell with execve system call

### Setuid

- Some legitimate actions require more privs than UID
  - E.g., how should users change their passwords?
  - Stored in root-owned /etc/passwd & /etc/shadow files

#### • Solution: Setuid/setgid programs

- Run with privileges of file's owner or group
- Each process has real and effective UID/GID
- real is user who launched setuid program
- *effective* is owner/group of file, used in access checks
- Shown as "s" in file listings
  - -rws--x--x 1 root root 38464 Jan 26 14:26 /bin/passwd
  - Obviously need to own file to set the setuid bit
  - Need to own file and be in group to set setgid bit

# Setuid (continued)

#### • Examples

- E.g., /usr/bin/passwd changes user's password
- E.g., /bin/su acquire new user ID with correct password
- E.g., /usr/bin/netstat lists network connections (by reading kernel memory on some OSes)

#### • Have to be very careful when writing setuid code

- Attackers can run setuid programs any time (no need to wait for root to run a vulnerable job)
- Attacker controls many aspects of program's environment
- Example attacks when running a setuid program
  - Change PATH or IFS if setuid prog calls system(3)
  - Set maximum file size to zero (if app rebuilds DB)
  - Close fd 2 before running program—may accidentally send error message into protected file

# A security hole

- Even without root or setuid, attackers can trick root owned processes into doing things...
- Example: Want to clear unused files in /tmp
- Every night, automatically run this command as root: find /tmp -atime +3 -exec rm -f -- {} \;
- find identifies files not accessed in 3 days
  - executes rm, replacing  $\{\}$  with file name
- **rm** -**f** -- *path* deletes file *path* 
  - Note "--" prevents *path* from being parsed as option
- What's wrong here?

# **Other permissions**

- When can proc. *A* send a signal to proc. *B* w. *kill*?
  - Allow if sender and receiver have same effective UID
  - But need ability to kill processes you launch even if suid
  - So allow if real UIDs match, as well
  - Can also send SIGCONT w/o UID match if in same session

#### • Debugger system call *ptrace*

- Lets one process modify another's memory
- Setuid gives a program more privilege than invoking user
- So don't let process ptrace more privileged process
- E.g., Require sender to match real & effective UID of target
- Also disable/ignore setuid if ptraced target calls exec
- Exception: root can *ptrace* anyone

## An attack



unlink ("/tmp/badetc/passwd")

#### • Time-of-check-to-time-of-use (TOCTTOU) bug

- find checks that  ${\tt tmp/badetc}$  is not symlink
- But meaning of file name changes before it is used

11/33

### xterm command

- Provides a terminal window in X-windows
- Used to run with setuid root privileges
  - Requires kernel pseudo-terminal (pty) device
  - Required root privs to change ownership of pty to user
  - Also writes protected utmp/wtmp files to record users
- Had feature to log terminal session to file
  - fd = open (logfile, O\_CREAT|O\_WRONLY|O\_TRUNC, 0666);
    /\* ... \*/
- What's wrong here?

### xterm command

- Provides a terminal window in X-windows
- Used to run with setuid root privileges
  - Requires kernel pseudo-terminal (pty) device
  - Required root privs to change ownership of pty to user
  - Also writes protected utmp/wtmp files to record users
- Had feature to log terminal session to file
   if (access (logfile, W\_OK) < 0)
   return ERROR;
   fd = open (logfile, O\_CREAT|O\_WRONLY|O\_TRUNC, 0666);
   /\* ... \*/</pre>
- xterm is root, but shouldn't log to file user can't write
- access call avoids dangerous security hole
  - Does permission check with *real*, not *effective* UID

13/33

### xterm command

- Provides a terminal window in X-windows
- Used to run with setuid root privileges
  - Requires kernel pseudo-terminal (pty) device
  - Required root privs to change ownership of pty to user
  - Also writes protected utmp/wtmp files to record users
- Had feature to log terminal session to file
   if (access (logfile, W\_OK) < 0)
   return ERROR;
   fd = open (logfile, O\_CREAT|O\_WRONLY|O\_TRUNC, 0666);
   /\* ... \*/</pre>
- xterm is root, but shouldn't log to file user can't write
- access call avoids dangerous security hole
  - Does permission check with real, not effective UID
  - Wrong: Another TOCTTOU bug

### An attack



 $symlink ("/tmp/X" \rightarrow "/etc/passwd")$ 

open ("/tmp/X")

- Attacker changes /tmp/X between check and use
  - xterm unwittingly overwrites /etc/passwd
  - Another TOCTTOU bug
- OpenBSD man page: "CAVEATS: access() is a potential security hole and should never be used."

13/33

14/33

## **SSH** configuration files

- SSH 1.2.12 secure login program, runs as root
  - Needs to bind TCP port under 1,024 (privileged operation)
  - Needs to read client private key (for host authentication)
- Also needs to read & write files owned by user
  - Read configuration file ~/.ssh/config
  - Record server keys in ~/.ssh/known\_hosts
- Author wanted to avoid TOCTTOU bugs:
  - First binds socket & reads root-owned secret key file
  - Then drops all privileges before accessing user files—real and effective user IDs those of invoking user
  - Idea: avoid using any user-controlled arguments/files until you have no more privileges than the user
  - What might still have gone wrong?

# A Linux security hole

- Some programs acquire then release privileges
  - E.g., su user is setuid root, becomes user if password correct
- Consider the following:
  - A and B unprivileged processes owned by attacker
  - A ptraces B
  - A executes "su user" to its own identity
  - While su is superuser, B execs su root (A is superuser, so this is not disabled)
  - A types password, gets shell, and is attached to su root
  - Can manipulate su root's memory to get root shell

# **Trick question: ptrace bug**

- Actually do have more privileges than user!
  - Bound privileged port and read host private key
- Dropping privs allows user to "debug" SSH
  - Depends on OS, but at the time several had *ptrace* implementations that made SSH vulerable
- Once in debugger
  - Could use privileged port to connect anywhere
  - Could read secret host key from memory
  - Could overwrite local user name to get privs of other user
- The fix: restructure into 3 processes!
  - Perhaps overkill, but really wanted to avoid problems



- Previous examples show two limitations of Unix
- Many OS security policies *subjective* not *objective* 
  - When can you signal/debug process? Re-bind network port?
  - Rules for non-file operations somewhat incoherent
  - Even some file rules weird (Creating hard links to files)
- Correct code is much harder to write than incorrect
  - Delete file without traversing symbolic link
  - Read SSH configuration file (requires 3 processes??)
  - Write mailbox owned by user in dir owned by root/mail
- Don't *just* blame the application writers
  - Must also blame the interfaces they program to

17/33

15/33

## Another security problem [Hardy]

- Setting: A multi-user time sharing system
  - This time it's not Unix
- Wanted fortran compiler to keep statistics
  - Modified compiler /sysx/fort to record stats in /sysx/stat
  - Gave compiler "home files license"—allows writing to anything in /sysx (kind of like Unix setuid)
- What's wrong here?

## A confused deputy

- Attacker could overwrite any files in /sysx
  - System billing records kept in /sysx/bill got wiped
  - Probably command like fort -o /sysx/bill file.f
- Is this a bug in the compiler fort?
  - Original implementors did not anticipate extra rights
  - Can't blame them for unchecked output file
- Compiler is a "confused deputy"
  - Inherits privileges from invoking user (e.g., read file.f)
  - Also inherits privileges from home files license
  - Which master is it serving on any given system call?
  - OS doesn't know if it just sees open ("/sysx/bill", ...)

19/33

### **Recall access control matrix**



## Capabilities

- Slicing matrix along rows yields capabilities
  - E.g., For each process, store a list of objects it can access
  - Process explicitly invokes particular capabilities

#### • Can help avoid confused deputy problem

- E.g., Must give compiler an argument that both specifies the output file and conveys the capability to write the file (think about passing a file descriptor, not a file name)
- So compiler uses no *ambient authority* to write file

#### • Three general approaches to capabilities:

- Hardware enforced (Tagged architectures like M-machine)
- Kernel-enforced (Hydra, KeyKOS)
- Self-authenticating capabilities (like Amoeba)
- Good history in [Levy]

20/33