# MEAN FIELD FOR MARKOV DECISION PROCESSES: FROM DISCRETE TO CONTINUOUS OPTIMIZATION

Nicolas Gast,

Bruno Gaujal

Jean-Yves Le Boudec,

Jan 24, 2012





1

#### Contents

#### 1. Mean Field Interaction Model

- 2. Mean Field Interaction Model with Central Control
- 3. Convergence and Asymptotically Optimal Policy
- 4. Performance of sub-optimal policies

# MEAN FIELD INTERACTION MODEL

#### **Mean Field Interaction Model**

#### Time is discrete

- N objects, N large
   Object n has state X<sub>n</sub>(t)
   (X<sup>N</sup><sub>1</sub>(t), ..., X<sup>N</sup><sub>N</sub>(t)) is Markov
- Objects are observable only through their state

- "Occupancy measure"
   M<sup>N</sup>(t) = distribution of object states at time t
- Example [Khouzani 2010]:  $M^{N}(t) = (S(t), I(t), R(t), D(t))$ with S(t)+I(t) + R(t) + D(t) = 1
  - S(t) = proportion of nodes in state `S'



#### **Mean Field Interaction Model**

- Time is discrete
- N objects, N large
   Object n has state X<sub>n</sub>(t)
   (X<sup>N</sup><sub>1</sub>(t), ..., X<sup>N</sup><sub>N</sub>(t)) is Markov
  - Objects are observable only through their state

- "Occupancy measure"
   M<sup>N</sup>(t) = distribution of object states at time t
- *Theorem* [Gast (2011)] *M<sup>N</sup>(t)* is Markov
- Called "Mean Field Interaction Models" in the Performance Evaluation community
   [McDonald(2007), Benaïm and Le Boudec(2008)]

# Intensity I(N)

- I(N) = expected number of transitions per object per time unit
  - A mean field limit occurs when we re-scale time by *I(N)* i.e. we consider *X<sup>N</sup>(t/I(N))*

I(N) = O(1): mean field limit is in discrete time [Le Boudec et al (2007)]

I(N) = O(1/N): mean field limit is in continuous time [Benaïm and Le Boudec (2008)]

# Virus Infection [Khouzani 2010]



0

0

0.2

0.1

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

0.7

0.8

0.9

### **The Mean Field Limit**

Under very general conditions (given later) the occupancy measure converges, in law, to a deterministic process, *m(t)*, called the *mean field limit* 

$$M^N\left(\frac{t}{I(N)}\right) \to m(t)$$

Finite State Space => ODE

## **Sufficient Conditions for Convergence**

[Kurtz 1970], see also [Bordenav et al 2008], [Graham 2000] Sufficient conditon verifiable by inspection:

[Benaïm and Le Boudec(2008), Ioannidis and Marbach(2009)]

Let W<sup>N</sup>(k) be the number of objects that do a transition in time slot k. Note that E (W<sup>N</sup>(k)) = NI(N), where I(N) <sup>def</sup>=intensity. Assume

$$\mathbb{E}\left(W^N(k)^2\right) \leq \beta(N)$$
 with  $\lim_{N\to\infty} I(N)\beta(N) = 0$ 

Example: I(N) = 1/N Second moment of number of objects affected in one timeslot = o(N)

Similar result when mean field limit is in discrete time [Le Boudec et al 2007]

# MEAN FIELD INTERACTION MODEL WITH CENTRAL CONTROL

2

#### **Markov Decision Process**

#### Central controller

# Action state A (metric, compact)

- Running reward depends on state and action
- **Goal**: maximize expected reward over horizon *T*

- Policy π selects action at every time slot
- Optimal policy can be assumed *Markovian* (X<sup>N</sup><sub>1</sub>(t), ..., X<sup>N</sup><sub>N</sub>(t)) -> action
- Controller observes only object states
- $\Rightarrow \pi$  depends on  $M^N(t)$  only

$$V_{\pi}^{N}(m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor H^{N} \rfloor} r^{N} \left(M_{\pi}^{N}(k), \pi(M_{\pi}^{N}(k))\right)\right| M_{\pi}^{N}(0) = m\right)$$

#### **Example**

Policy 
$$\pi$$
: set  $\alpha = 1$  when  $R+S > \theta$   
Value  $= \frac{1}{NT} \sum_{k=1}^{NT} D^{N}(k) \approx D^{N}(NT)$   
 $r^{N}(S, I, R, D, \pi) = \frac{1}{N}D$ 





# **Optimal Control**

#### **Optimal Control Problem**

Find a policy  $\pi$  that achieves (or approaches) the supremum in

$$V^N_*(m) = \sup_{\pi} V^N_{\pi}(m)$$

*m* is the initial condition of occupancy measure

Can be found by iterative methods

State space explosion (for *m*)

# Can We Replace MDP By Mean Field Limit ?

- Assume the mean field model converges to fluid limit for every action
  - E.g. mean and std dev of transitions per time slot is O(1)
- Can we replace MDP by optimal control of mean field limit ?



#### **Controlled ODE**

Mean field limit is an ODE
 Control = action function α(t)
 Example:

$$v_{\alpha}(m_{0}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{0}^{T} r\left(\phi_{s}(m_{0},\alpha),\alpha(s)\right) ds$$
$$v_{*}(m_{0}) = \sup_{\alpha} v_{\alpha}(m_{0}),$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{if} \ t > t_0 \ \mathbf{\alpha}(t) &= 1 \quad \mathbf{else} \ \mathbf{\alpha}(t) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} &= -\beta I S - q S \\ \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} &= \beta I S - b I - \mathbf{\alpha}(t) I \\ \frac{\partial D}{\partial t} &= \mathbf{\alpha}(t) I \\ \frac{\partial R}{\partial t} &= b I + q S. \end{split}$$

 $m_0$  is initial condition  $r(S, I, R, D, \alpha) = D$ 

Variants: terminal values, infinite horizon with discount

### **Optimal Control for Fluid Limit**

 $t_0 = 1$ 

Optimal function α(t) Can be obtained with Pontryagin's maximum principle or Hamilton Jacobi Bellman equation.

0.9

0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

0 0

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

0.5

0.6

0.7

0.8

0.9



# CONVERGENCE, ASYMPTOTICALLY OPTIMAL POLICY

3

#### **Convergence Theorem**



#### **Convergence Theorem**

**Theorem** [Gast 2011] Under reasonable regularity and scaling assumptions:

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} V_*^N \left( M^N(0) \right) = v_* \left( m_0 \right)$$

Does this give us an asymptotically optimal policy ?

Optimal policy of system with *N* objects may not converge



# **Asymptotically Optimal Policy**

- Let  $\alpha^*$  be an optimal policy for mean field limit
  - Define the following control for the system with *N* objects
    - At time slot k, pick same action as optimal fluid limit would take at time t = k I(N)



This defines a time dependent policy.

Let  $V_{\alpha^*}^N$  = value function when applying  $\alpha^*$  to system with *N* objects



## **Asymptotic evaluation of policies**

## **Control policies exhibit discontinuities**



(taken from Tsitsiklis, Xu 11)

The drift is:

$$f_i(x) = \underbrace{\lambda(x_{i-1}-x_i)}_{arrivals} + \underbrace{(1-p)(x_{i+1}-x_i)}_{departures \ distrib} + \begin{cases} -p & \text{if } x_i > 0 \text{ and } x_j = 0 \text{ for } j > i \\ p & \text{if } x_{i+1} > 0 \text{ and } x_j = 0 \text{ for } j > i+1 \end{cases}$$

Discontinuity arrises because of the strategy LQF.

# **Differential inclusions as good approx.**



*Theorem* [Gast-2011b] Under reasonnable scaling assumptions (but without regularity)

- The differential inclusion has at least one solution
- As N grows, X(t) goes to the solutions of the DI.
- If unique attractor x\*, the stationary distribution concentrates on x\*.

In (Tsitsiklis,Xu 2011), they use an ad-hoc argument to show that as N grows, the steady state concentrates on



Easily retrieved by solving the equation  $0 \in F(x)$ 

#### **Conclusions**

Optimal control on mean field limit is justified

A practical, asymptotically optimal policy can be derived

Use of differential inclusion to evaluate policies.



[Gast 2011] N. Gast, B. Gaujal, and J.Y. Le Boudec. Mean field for Markov Decision Processes: from Discrete to Continuous Optimization. To appear in *IEEE Transaction on Automatic Control*, 2012

- [Gast 2011b] N. Gast and B. Gaujal. Markov chains with discontinuous drifts have differential inclusions limits. application to stochastic stability and mean field approximation. Inria EE 7315.
  - Short version: N. Gast and B. Gaujal. Mean eld limit of non-smooth systems and differential inclusions. *MAMA Workshop*, 2010.
- [Ethier and Kurtz (2005)] Stewart Ethieru and Thomas Kurtz. Markov Processes, Characterization and Convergence. Wiley 2005.
  - [Benaim and Le Boudec(2008)] M Benaim and JY Le Boudec. A class of mean field interaction models for computer and communication systems, *Performance Evaluation*, 65 (11-12): 823—838. 2008
  - [Khouzani 2010] M.H.R. Khouzani, S. Sarkar, and E. Altman. Maximum damage malware attack in mobile wireless networks. *In IEEE Infocom*, San Diego, 2010